

# **Insertion polymorphism in pea chloroplast DNA**

T. H. Teeri, A. Saura and J. Lokki

Department of Genetics, University of Helsinki, P. Rautatiekatu 13, SF-00100 Helsinki 10, Finland

Received July 15, 1984; Accepted August 5, 1984 Communicated by P. M. A. Tigerstedt

Summary. The chloroplast DNA of higher plants is suitable for restriction endonuclease analysis due to its size and homogeneity. We have analysed 48 different cultivars of pea *(Pisum sativum)* with EcoRI and HindlII. Of these, only 24 show the standard genotype, the remaining 24 comprise four different classes of short insertions, three of which are found at the same site. Even though this kind of insertion polymorphism has not been detected elsewhere in the plant kingdom, it is consistent with the discovery that the chloroplast DNA of pea is destabilised through the loss of an inverted repeat.

**Key words:** *Pisum sativum -* Chloroplast DNA - Restriction endonuclease analysis

## **Introduction**

The molecular weight of the circular higher plant chloroplast DNAs (cpDNAs) ranges from 120 to 180 kilobases (kb). The mean size is 140-150 kb (Wallace 1982). These molecules show a remarkable structural homogeneity which is found even in the cpDNA of unicellular algae. An inverted repeat of about 22 kb divides the molecule into a larger (about 80 kb) and a smaller (about 19 kb) unique sequence. This repeated region contains the genes for chloroplast ribosomal RNAs. Accordingly, these genes are present at double dosage. A small number of protein coding genes have already been mapped in the larger unique sequence. One of these is the gene for the large subunit of ribulose-l,5-bisphosphatecarboxylase, one of the most extensively transcribed and translated sequences in the biosphere. In addition, 27 different transfer RNA species, capable of translating 16 of the required 20 aminoacids, have been mapped in different regions of the genome (Steinmetz etal. 1978). The above findings finally make plausible the hypothesis according to which the autonomously acting transcription-translation machinery of the chloroplast does not require nucleic acid transport through the double chloroplast envelope. Even though isolated chloroplasts synthesize some 90 separable polypeptides (Herrmann and Possingham 1980) (a number which roughly corresponds to the coding capacity of cpDNA), these organelles are completely dependent on nuclear coded, cytoplasmically synthesized proteins. Accordingly, a protein transport system of considerable capacity must exist between the cytoplasm and organelles.

Each chloroplast contains some 20 copies of its circular DNA molecule. As the number of chloroplasts per cell may rise to as high as 50, the degree of ploidy of this extrachromosomal genome is quite large (Walbot 1977). Yet the cpDNA pool of one plant individual (or strain) appears to be homogenous for all practical purposes. This has led to the application of restriction endonuclease analysis of these molecules, in which the fragment patterns produced by digestion with various restriction enzymes are compared (with or without mapping them).

### **Materials and methods**

The cpDNAs were prepared by first isolating intact chloroplasts from 10-15 g of pea seedlings following a modification of the method of Mills and Joy (1980). The plastids (transferred in 150 mM NaC1, 5 mM EDTA-40 mM Tris-C1, pH 7.9) were lysed by addition of SDS to 0.5% and the DNA was purified by extracting twice with redistilled phenol-chloroform  $(1:1)$ . Following removal of the phenol by ether extraction, the RNA was digested with  $10 \mu g$  of pancreatic RNase (15 min,  $37^{\circ}$ C), and the cpDNA was precipitated with two volumes of cold ethanol. After resuspending the pellet in 1 mMEDTA-6 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9, the DNA was digested essentially according to the instructions of the enzyme manufacturer. The DNA fragments were separated in 0.5% and 1.5% agarose slab gels (resolution ranges 2-20kb and 0.2-2.5 kb, respectively) and the gels were photographed under ultraviolet illumination. The electrode and gel buffer used was 50 mM Tris-50 mM  $H_3BO_3-2$  mM EDTA-0.2  $\mu$ g/ml ethidium bromide, pH 8.3.

We have analysed the cpDNAs of 48 different cultivars of pea *(Pisum sativum)* by comparing the band patterns produced by these DNA molecules in agarose gels after digestion with EcoRI and HindIII. A peculiarity of pea cpDNA is that it does not possess any larger repeated regions (Chu etal. 1981). Accordingly, the band patterns obtained lack true double bands, which usually arise in restriction analysis of cpDNA. The double bands that appear due to chance comigration can be detected most reliably by studying the densitograms of the photographed gels. The HindIII pattern contains two double bands and the appreciably denser EcoRI pattern 13 (a few of which may be triple). The molecular weight of pea cpDNA, calculated from the sizes of the individual fragments, (obtained by comparison with phage lambda DNA standard fragments) is 120.5 kb based on the HindIII digestion and it is similar to that obtained by Palmer and Thompson (1981). The lower value given by EcoRI fragments (l15.4kb) can be accounted for by the triple bands (which remained undetected) and lack of resolution below the limit of 0.2 kb.

Five different variations in the band patterns were repeatedly detected in the analysed cultivars. These variant patterns appeared in the gels for the larger fragments (Figs. 1 and 2). In all but one case the different variations obtained by the two enzymes coincided perfectly. This means that each HindIII variant has a counterpart in the EcoRI pattern. By designating the most abundant (24/48) variant as the basic chloroplast genotype, each of the other variations can be derived from this by an insertion of a few hundred base pairs. Remarkably, three of these insertions take place in a short region represented by a 2.2kb EcoRI fragment (and a 6.8 kb HindIII fragment); this fragment is missing from the mutated types and a new band appears at three different locations in the three different variants. The fourth insertion is located in a different restriction fragment. The single case, which is not in accord with the coincidence pattern, can be explained through the limited relative resolution in the 7 kb region of HindIII digestion pattern. Any further variation in the 6.8 kb HindIII band (H8 in Fig. 2) might, therefore, be obscured. Accordingly, chloroplast

### 568 T.H. Teeri et al.: Insertion polymorphism in pea chloroplast DNA

Table 1. EcoRI and HindllI restriction enzyme fragments obtained from pea chloroplast DNA. The list of fragments which are summed represent the genotype O. The representation of the multiple band H8 as fragments of three distinct molecular sizes only serves the purpose of obtaining the most probable structure of the complex in the calculations (i. e. that the genotypes  $B$  and  $D$  arise from  $O$  by the disappearance of H8 $c$  and appearance of H8 a) and it is not an indication of gel resolution. Also, the representation of the estimates of the sizes by accuracy of one base pair is only illustrative



genotypes B and D have a similar HindIII pattern, even though they differ clearly when analysed with EcoRI.

### **Discussion**

The large degree of overall structural homology of cpDNAs of different species suggests that the molecule is highly conserved even at the level of restriction sites. Therefore, the band patterns within a single plant

T. H. Teeri et al.: Insertion polymorphism in pea chloroplast DNA 569





Fig. 2. The HindIII patterns of the same pea cpDNA variants as in Fig. 1. In type  $A$  the other fragment of the double band *H8* shifts upwards forming *H7,* and both types B and D seem to be characterized by a rise in the whole double band *H8.* In type C, band *H14* has disappeared and band *H13* has appeared. The band patterns with the two enzymes coincide so that each cultivar can be labelled  $O, A, B, C$  or  $D$  for its cpDNA

population or cultivar show homogeneity and often even related species are indistinguishable by this kind of analysis (Atchison et al. 1976). There are only two previous reports on within species variation in cpDNA restriction patterns. Scowcroft (1979) found in two of his nine *Nicotiana debneyi* populations a difference in EcoRI pattern, which can be accounted for by a single base pair substitution. Metzlaff etal. (1981), on the other hand, noticed a polymorphism in cpDNA in three out of 16 cultivars of *Pelargonium zonale.* One of their variants could be accounted for by a base substitution, while the other remained obscure.

570 T.H. Teeri et al.: Insertion polymorphism in pea chloroplast DNA

Restriction enzyme analysis is sensitive enough to detect small insertions and deletions (down to 50 base pairs), but it is rather insensitive in detecting point mutations (because only the recognition sequences of the enzymes are screened). The insertion polymorphism in pea is the first one to be described. Chloroplast DNAs within a particular species could harbor some point mutation polymorphism. Such a phenomenon can not be effectively revealed without DNA sequencing, but it could occasionally appear in different experiments. The intraspecific polymorphism in pea is, however, not an unexpected result. Palmer and Thompson (1981, 1982) have studied the sequence organisation differences between cpDNAs of various angiosperms. The differences are consistent with the overall phylogeny of these species in all cases except for the pea and the broad bean, which have diverged further than expected. This may be connected with the (hypothetical) stabilising effect of the inverted repeat of the molecule. Both pea and broad bean have been shown to lack this feature (Chu et al. 1981; Koller and Delius 1980).

### **References**

- Atchison BA, Whitfeld PR, Bottomley W (1976) Comparison of chloroplast DNAs by specific fragmentation with EcoRI endonuclease. Mol Gen Genet 148:263-269
- Chu NM, Oishi KK, Tewari KK (1981) Physical mapping of the pea chloroplast DNA and localization of the ribosomal RNA genes. Plasmit 6:297-292
- Herrmann RG, Possingham JV (1980) Plastid DNA the plastome. In: Reinert J (ed) Chloroplasts. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 45-96
- Koller B, Delius H (1980) Vicia faba chloroplast DNA has only one set of ribosomal RNA genes as shown by partial denaturation mapping and R-loop analysis. Mol Gen Genet 178:261-269
- Metzlaff M, Börner T, Hagemann R (1981) Variations of chloroplast DNAs in the genus *Pelargonium* and their biparental inheritance. Theor Appl Genet 60:37-41
- Mills WR, Joy KW (1980) A rapid method for isolation of purified, physiologically active chloroplasts, used to study the intracellular distribution of amino acids in pea leaves. Planta 148:75-83
- Palmer JD, Thompson WF (1981) Rearrangements in the chloroplast genomes of mung bean and pea. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 78:5533-5537
- Palmer JD, Thompson WF (1982) Chloroplast DNA rearrangements are more frequent when a large inverted repeat sequence is lost. Cell 29:537-550
- Scowcroft WR (1979) Nucleotide polymorphism in chloroplast DNA of *Nicotiana debneyi.* Theor Appl Genet 55: 133-137
- Steinmetz A, Mubumbila M, Keller M, Burkard G, Weil JH, Driesel AJ, Crouse EJ, Gordon K, Bohnert HJ, Herrmann RG (1978) Mapping of tRNA genes on the circular DNA molecule of *Spinacia oleracea* chloroplasts. In: Akoyunoglou G, Argyroudi-Akoyunoglou JH (eds) Chloroplast development. North-Holland Biomedical Press, Elsevier, pp 573-580
- Walbot V (1977) The dimorphic chloroplasts of the  $C_4$  plant *Panicum maximum* contain identical genomes. Cell 11: 729-737
- Wallace DC (1982) Structure and evolution of organelle genomes. Microb Rev 46:208-240